

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/698,732	11/03/2003	Raymond Brown	5-5724-001	3224
803	7590 02/16/2005		EXAMINER	
STURM & FIX LLP			LAVINDER, JACK W	
206 SIXTH . SUITE 1213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DES MOINES, IA 50309-4076			3677	
			DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/698,732	BROWN, RAYMOND				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jack W. Lavinder	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 November 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/698,732

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Claycomb, 6729604 in view of Cutler, 2797009.

Regarding claim 1, Claycomb discloses a strap tensioning apparatus (see the abstract and figure 8) comprising a collar unit (10), which is inserted into a ratchet hub (34) and locked to the ratchet hub via engagement pin (20) and transverse bore (36). The collar unit has a spindle hole (12) for alignment with the transverse bore (36). The engagement pin (20, securing means/post) is mounted for reciprocal movement relative to the spindle hole (aperture in collar). The pin is also extends into a portion of the transverse bore (36) in order to lock the collar unit to the ratchet hub. Claycomb also discloses a handle unit(28) connected to the collar to provide rotary motion to the collar unit.

Claycomb fails to disclose a lever arm pivotally connected to the collar member and the post. Claycomb discloses a coil spring (18) connected to the collar member (10) and the post for biasing the post into an engagement postion (figure 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,732 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

Cutler discloses a lever (leaf spring 150, figure 3) and post (148) locking member for biasing the post into an engagement postion. The end of the lever terminates into a hook for allowing a user to grasp the hook and unlock the locking member.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to substitute Claycomb's coil spring with a leaf spring, as taught by Cutler, in order to improve the ease of unlocking the post/hole locking unit.

Regarding claim 4, Cutler discloses a lever arm having a generally I-shaped configuration, albeit a smaller case "I".

Regarding claim 5, Cutler discloses a post (148) that depends downwardly from a lower segment of the lever member (assuming that the lever member is the lever arm stated in claim 4).

Regarding claim 6, Cutler discloses a spring element, i.e., the top portion of leaf spring and bolt at 152, which provides the lever with its spring action.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Curry has been cited to show that it is old and well known to provide a handle (2,7) with a collar unit (6) with holes that align with a hole in the shaft (8) of a workpiece in order to lock the collar unit to the work piece via a post (figure 2).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/698,732 Page 4

Art Unit: 3677

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack W. Lavinder whose telephone number is 703-308-3421. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday, 9-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/698,732

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack W Lavinder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

2/14/05